

Late-time Inhomogeneity and Acceleration Without Dark Energy

J. W. Moffat

*The Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, Ontario, N2J 2W9,
Canada*

and

*Department of Physics, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1,
Canada*

Abstract

The inhomogeneous distribution of matter in the non-linear regime of galaxies, clusters of galaxies and voids is described by an exact, spherically symmetric inhomogeneous solution of Einstein's gravitational field equations, corresponding to an under-dense void. The solution becomes the homogeneous and isotropic Einstein-de Sitter solution for a red shift $z > 10 - 20$, which describes the matter dominated CMB data with small inhomogeneities $\delta\rho/\rho \sim 10^{-5}$. A spatial volume averaging of physical quantities is introduced and the averaged time evolution expansion parameter θ in the Raychaudhuri equation can give rise in the late-time universe to a volume averaged deceleration parameter $\langle q \rangle$ that is negative for a positive matter density. This allows for a region of accelerated expansion which does not require a negative pressure dark energy or a cosmological constant. A negative deceleration parameter can be derived by this volume averaging procedure from the Lemaitre-Tolman-Bondi open void solution, which describes the late-time non-linear regime associated with galaxies and under-dense voids and solves the "coincidence" problem.

e-mail: john.moffat@utoronto.ca

1 Introduction

In a recent article, we investigated a cosmology in which a spherically symmetric inhomogeneous enhancement is embedded in an asymptotic FLRW universe [1]. The inhomogeneous enhancement is described by an exact inhomogeneous solution of Einstein's field equations. We found that the inhomogeneities can lead to a reinterpretation of the luminosity distance d_L of a cosmological source in terms of its red shift z , owing to the observer dependence of these quantities. The time evolution and

the expansion rate of the inhomogeneous distribution of matter can lead to intrinsic effects such as cosmic variance at large angles and long-wavelength perturbations not described by a Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) homogeneous and isotropic universe. Therefore, the interpretation of the data using a FLRW model that the accelerating expansion of the universe is caused by dark energy may be misleading. This is important, for it is difficult to explain theoretically the postulated dark energy that causes the acceleration of the universe. The model also leads to an axis pointing towards the center of the spherically symmetric large scale inhomogeneous enhancement with dipole, quadrupole and octopole moments aligned with the axis. It was shown that the luminosity distances and red shifts observed by different observers located at spatially different points of causally disconnected parts of the universe can have varying values. A spatial average of all these observations leads to an intrinsic cosmic variance in e.g. the deceleration parameter q . The distribution of CMB temperature fluctuations can be unevenly distributed in the northern and southern hemispheres.

The acceleration of the expansion of the universe deduced from Type SNIa supernova observations and the CMB WMAP data [2, 3, 4] has been interpreted as due to the cosmological constant (vacuum energy), modifications of Einstein's gravitational field equations at large distances [5], and quintessence fields [6]. The quintessence explanations postulate a new form of matter with negative pressure called dark energy. Recently, it has been suggested that the acceleration is caused by very long wavelength, super-horizon perturbations generated by a period of inflation in the early universe [7, 8, 9, 10]. The back-reaction of perturbations on a FLRW background universe has been the subject of investigation by several authors [11]. The predictions based on perturbation theory are limited by the condition $\Phi \ll 1$, where Φ is the gravitational potential and the assumption of a homogeneous and isotropic FLRW background. Moreover, the perturbation calculations do not possess a rigorous gauge invariance unless all physical quantities are scalars under coordinate transformations. Otherwise, calculations rely on using specific gauges such as the synchronous gauge or the Poisson (Newtonian) gauge, which can possess residual gauge ambiguities.

The suggestion that the acceleration of the universe can be caused by sub-horizon or super-horizon modes due to a phase of early universe inflation has been criticized [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. It is pointed out that second order perturbation effects of the form $\Phi \nabla^2 \Phi$ are described by a renormalization of the local spatial curvature and cannot (for a positive energy density and pressure) produce a negative deceleration parameter. Hitara and Seljak [14] use a derivation of the deceleration parameter q obtained from the Raychaudhuri [18, 19] equation that requires significant contributions from vorticity to produce a negative q when the strong energy condition $\rho + 3p > 0$ is satisfied. Notari [9] argues that the perturbation expansion fails at late times and leads to significant infrared effects and a possible negative deceleration parameter q . All of these arguments depend on a perturbation calculation against a homogeneous and isotropic FLRW background. Thus, the results are inferred from

the strong assumption that the universe is approximately homogeneous at all scales. We know that for distances of order ≤ 150 Mpc there are galaxies, clusters of galaxies and large scale voids ~ 50 Mpc, and that $\delta\rho/\rho \geq 1$, so that linear perturbation theory fails.

The inhomogeneous distribution of matter at late times could possibly allow for a negative deceleration parameter q , depending on the nature of the exact inhomogeneous solution of Einstein's field equations. This will allow for the possibility of explaining the acceleration of the universe, without a negative pressure dark energy fluid or a cosmological constant. It can solve the ‘‘coincidence’’ problem, because the negative deceleration parameter q and the accelerating expansion will not occur in the absence of large-scale structure in the form of galaxies, voids and clusters of galaxies¹

We shall describe the inhomogeneous late-time universe by an exact spherically symmetric solution of Einstein's field equations. The solution becomes the homogeneous and isotropic Einstein-de Sitter matter dominated solution for $z > 20$, so that it can describe the WMAP data near the surface of last scattering with small temperature perturbations $\delta T/T \sim 10^{-5}$. However, for $z < 20$ the solution is inhomogeneous and for luminosity distances corresponding to $z \sim 0.2 - 1.4$, includes the Type SNIa supernova measurements. We investigate the expansion geometry of the spherical distribution of inhomogeneous matter and under-dense voids [20] to see whether it allows for the possibility of a negative q and an accelerating expansion of the universe, without negative pressure dark energy or a cosmological constant.

A generic relativistic solution of a three-dimensional inhomogeneous universe is presently unknown. The highly symmetric Lemaître-Tolman-Bondi (LTB) [23, 24, 25] solution that we shall consider in the following is inhomogeneous in only one spatial dimension. Even though the assumption of spherical symmetry is unrealistic, the model is useful in illustrating important *local* features of physical quantities.

We will discover that although the LTB model appears to allow for a negative deceleration parameter for a positive density $\rho > 0$, an investigation of the gauge invariant Raychoudhuri equation that determines the rate of change of the volume expansion shows that for the synchronous and comoving LTB metric, the vorticity ω vanishes and q must be positive when the strong energy condition is satisfied. However, for our inhomogeneous late-time universe model, we are required to carry out a volume averaging of physical scalar quantities, such as the expansion parameter θ . We find for such an averaging process that for a zero cosmological constant and for irrotational matter the average deceleration parameter $\langle q \rangle$ can be negative and describe an accelerating local region of the universe, corresponding to our observed Hubble radius, without invoking dark energy with a negative pressure.

¹The possibility that a large-scale inhomogeneity can mimic a cosmological constant has been considered previously by C  lerier [21]. The possibility that an under-dense void can cause the acceleration of the universe has been proposed by Tomita [22].

2 Inhomogeneous Friedmann Equations

For the sake of notational clarity, we write the FLRW line element

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t) \left(\frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2} + r^2 d\Omega^2 \right), \quad (1)$$

where $k = +1, 0, -1$ for a closed, flat and open universe, respectively, and $d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2$. The general, spherically symmetric inhomogeneous line element is given by [23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 1]:

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - X^2(r, t) dr^2 - R^2(r, t) d\Omega^2. \quad (2)$$

The energy-momentum tensor $T^\mu{}_\nu$ takes the barytropic form

$$T^\mu{}_\nu = (\rho + p)u^\mu u_\nu - p\delta^\mu{}_\nu, \quad (3)$$

where $u^\mu = dx^\mu/ds$ and, in general, the density $\rho = \rho(r, t)$ and the pressure $p = p(r, t)$ depend on both r and t . We have for comoving coordinates $u^0 = 1, u^i = 0$, ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and $g^{\mu\nu}u_\mu u_\nu = 1$.

The Einstein gravitational equations are

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = -8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}, \quad (4)$$

where $G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{R} = g^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu}$ and Λ is the cosmological constant. Solving the $G_{01} = 0$ equation for the metric (2), we find that

$$X(r, t) = \frac{R'(r, t)}{f(r)}, \quad (5)$$

where $R' = \partial R/\partial r$ and $f(r)$ is an arbitrary function of r .

We obtain the two generalized Friedmann equations [1]:

$$\frac{\dot{R}^2}{R^2} + 2\frac{\dot{R}'}{R'}\frac{\dot{R}}{R} + \frac{1}{R^2}(1 - f^2) - 2\frac{ff'}{R'R} = 8\pi G\rho + \Lambda, \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{R}}{R} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{\dot{R}^2}{R^2} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{R^2}(1 - f^2) - \frac{1}{3}\frac{\dot{R}'}{R'}\frac{\dot{R}}{R} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{ff'}{R'R} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}(\rho + 3p) + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda, \quad (7)$$

where $\dot{R} = \partial R/\partial t$.

3 Late-Time Matter Dominated Universe

The late-time matter dominated universe will be pictured as a large-scale inhomogeneous enhancement that is described by an exact inhomogeneous spherically symmetric solution of Einstein's field equations. The inhomogeneous enhancement

is embedded in a matter dominated universe that approaches asymptotically an Einstein-de Sitter universe as $t \rightarrow \infty$. An observer will be off-center from the origin of coordinates of the spherical inhomogeneous enhancement. The local inhomogeneous solution corresponds to an open, hyperbolic solution and describes an under-dense void. Surveys such as the 2-degree Field Galaxy Redshift Survey and the Sloan Digital Sky Survey show large volumes of relatively empty space, or voids, in the distribution of galaxies [20].

For the matter dominated Lemaitre-Tolman-Bondi (LTB) [23, 24, 25] model with zero pressure $p = 0$ and zero cosmological constant $\Lambda = 0$, the Einstein field equations demand that $R(r, t)$ satisfies

$$2R(r, t)\dot{R}^2(r, t) + 2R(r, t)(1 - f^2(r)) = F(r), \quad (8)$$

with F being an arbitrary function of r of class C^2 .

The proper density of matter can be expressed as

$$\rho = \frac{F'}{16\pi G R' R^2}. \quad (9)$$

We can solve (9) to obtain

$$\Omega - 1 \equiv \frac{\rho}{\rho_c} - 1 = \frac{1}{H_{\text{eff}}^2} \left(\frac{1 - f^2}{R^2} - 2 \frac{f f'}{R R'} \right), \quad (10)$$

where

$$H_{\text{eff}}^2 = H_{\perp}^2 + 2H_{\perp}H_r, \quad (11)$$

is an effective Hubble parameter and

$$H_r = \frac{\dot{R}'}{R'}, \quad H_{\perp} = \frac{\dot{R}}{R}. \quad (12)$$

We have for the critical density

$$8\pi G \rho_c = H_{\text{eff}}^2. \quad (13)$$

There are three possibilities for the curvature of spacetime: 1) $f^2 > 1$ open ($\Omega - 1 < 0$), 2) $f^2 = 1$ flat ($\Omega - 1 = 0$), $f^2 < 1$ closed ($\Omega - 1 > 0$).

We describe the inhomogeneous density regime by a hyperbolic, open solution $f^2(r) > 1$ with $p = \Lambda = 0$, corresponding to an under-dense void. We choose

$$f(r) = \sqrt{1 + r^2} \quad (14)$$

and the metric reduces to

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - \frac{R'^2(r, t)}{1 + r^2} dr^2 - R^2(r, t) d\Omega^2. \quad (15)$$

A parametric solution is given by

$$R(r, t) = \frac{1}{4}F(r)(f^2(r) - 1)^{-1}(\cosh u(r, t) - 1), \quad (16)$$

$$t + \beta(r) = \frac{1}{4}(f^2(r) - 1)^{-3/2}(\sinh u(r, t) - u(r, t)), \quad (17)$$

$$8\pi G\rho(r, t) = \left(\frac{2F'(r)}{F^2(r)}\right)\left(\frac{f^2(r) - 1}{R'(r, t)}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sinh^4 \frac{1}{2}u(r, t)}\right). \quad (18)$$

Here, $\beta(r)$ is an arbitrary function of r of class C^2 [26].

The function $\beta(r)$ can be specified in terms of a density on some spacelike hypersurface $t = t_0$. The metric and density are singular for

$$u = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad R'(r, t) = 0, \quad (19)$$

where $u = 0$ refers to the hypersurface $t + \beta(r) = 0$ and the singular surface for $R'(r, t) = 0$ is more complicated. Our pressureless model requires that the singular surface $t(r) = \Sigma(r)$ describes the surface on which the universe becomes matter dominated (in the FLRW model this occurs at $z \sim 10^4$). The hyperbolic FLRW model is obtained by choosing the conditions

$$f^2(r) = 1 + r^2, \quad F(r) = 4sr^3, \quad \beta(r) = 0, \quad (20)$$

where s is a positive constant. This leads to the metric solution

$$R = sr(\cosh u - 1), \quad (21)$$

$$t = s(\sinh u - u), \quad (22)$$

$$8\pi G\rho = \frac{3}{4s^2}\left(\frac{1}{\sinh^6 \frac{1}{2}u}\right). \quad (23)$$

We shall change the radial coordinate to

$$r^* = r - r_{SLS}, \quad (24)$$

where $r = r_{SLS}$ is the location of the surface of last scattering corresponding to $r^* = 0$ at a red shift $z \sim 1100$. We postulate that $\beta(r^*)$ approaches a maximum constant value at the approximate end of galaxy and cluster formation, $z_f \sim 1 - 5$, where $z = z_f$ denotes the final value of the red shift. We assume that $\beta(r^*) \rightarrow 0$ and $f(r^*) \rightarrow 1$ as $r^* \rightarrow 0$. A model for β is of the form:

$$\beta(r^*) = a\left(\frac{r^*}{b + r^*}\right)^\alpha, \quad (25)$$

where a , b and α are positive constants, $\beta(0) = 0$ and $\beta(r^*) \rightarrow a$ for $r^* \gg b$. The surface $r^* = 0$ for the metric is a singular surface.

The metric for $f(r^*) \rightarrow 1$ becomes [26]:

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - (t + \beta(r^*))^{4/3}(Y^2(r^*, t)dr^{*2} + r^{*2}d\Omega^2), \quad (26)$$

where

$$Y(r^*, t) = 1 + \frac{2r^*\beta'(r^*)}{3(t + \beta(r^*))}, \quad (27)$$

and

$$\rho(r^*, t) = \frac{1}{6\pi G(t + \beta(r^*))^2 Y(r^*, t)}. \quad (28)$$

We obtain for $\beta(r^*) \rightarrow 0$ the homogeneous and isotropic solution corresponding to a spatially flat Einstein-de Sitter universe with the metric

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t)(dr^{*2} + r^{*2}d\Omega^2), \quad (29)$$

and $a(t) \propto t^{2/3}$. This solution is compatible as a background spacetime with the approximately homogeneous and isotropic WMAP data for $20 < z < 10^3$ and $\delta\rho/\rho \sim 10^{-5}$ [4].

The Einstein-de Sitter solution must be supplemented by non-zero pressure contributions for $z \sim 10^3$ in the linear regime at the surface of last scattering in order to take into account the radiation density ρ_R . Moreover, a more general solution is required that has a non-vanishing cosmological constant Λ , so that we have $\Omega = \Omega_M + \Omega_R + \Omega_\Lambda = 1$ in agreement with the spatially flat result $\Omega = 1.02 \pm 0.02$, obtained from the determination of the first acoustic peak in the WMAP power spectrum [4]. However, in the following section, we shall replace Ω_Λ by a contribution corresponding to an acceleration parameter q obtained from our inhomogeneous void solution with $\Lambda = 0$.

4 The Inhomogeneous Late-Time Density and Deceleration Parameters

We obtain from (6) for $f(r) = \sqrt{1 + r^2}$ and $p = 0$ (we replace for convenience r^* by r):

$$H_\perp^2 + 2H_r H_\perp - \frac{r^2}{R^2} - \frac{2r}{R'R} = 8\pi G\rho + \Lambda. \quad (30)$$

Dividing this equation by $H_\perp^2$ gives

$$\Omega \equiv \frac{8\pi G\rho}{H_\perp^2} + \frac{\Lambda}{H_\perp^2} + \frac{r^2}{R^2 H_\perp^2} + \frac{2r}{R' R H_\perp^2} - \frac{2H_r}{H_\perp} = 1. \quad (31)$$

Let us expand $R(r, t)$ in a Taylor series

$$R(r, t) = R[r, t_0 - (t_0 - t)] = R(r, t_0) \left[1 - (t_0 - t) \frac{\dot{R}(r, t_0)}{R(r, t_0)} + \frac{1}{2} (t_0 - t)^2 \frac{\ddot{R}(r, t_0)}{R(r, t_0)} - \dots \right]$$

$$= R(r, t_0) \left[1 - (t_0 - t)H_{0\perp} - \frac{1}{2}(t_0 - t)^2 q(r, t_0)H_{0\perp}^2 - \dots \right], \quad (32)$$

where t_0 denotes the present epoch and $H_{0\perp} = \dot{R}(r, t_0)/R(r, t_0)$. Moreover, we have

$$q(r, t_0) = -\frac{\ddot{R}(r, t_0)R(r, t_0)}{\dot{R}^2(r, t_0)}. \quad (33)$$

For the matter dominated era we set $p = 0$ in Eqs.(6) and (7) and substitute for $\ddot{R}$ from (7) to obtain

$$q(r, t_0) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{4\pi\rho_0(r, t_0)}{3H_{0\perp}^2(r, t_0)} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{r}{RR'H_{0\perp}^2} - \frac{\Lambda}{3H_{0\perp}^2(r, t_0)} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{H_{0r}(r, t_0)}{H_{0\perp}(r, t_0)} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{r^2}{R^2H_{0\perp}^2}, \quad (34)$$

where $H_{0r}(r, t_0) = \dot{R}'(r, t_0)/R'(r, t_0)$.

If we set $H_{0\perp}(r, t_0) = H_{0r}(r, t_0) = H(t_0)$ where $H(t_0) = \dot{a}(t_0)/a(t_0)$ and $R(r, t_0) = a(t_0)$, then we obtain the *global* FLRW expression for the deceleration parameter for a spatially flat universe with $f^2(r) = 1$:

$$q_0 = \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{0M} - \Omega_{0\Lambda}, \quad (35)$$

where from (31) $\Omega_{0M} + \Omega_{0\Lambda} = 1$ with $\Omega_{0M} = 8\pi\rho_{0M}/3H^2(t_0)$ and $\Omega_{0\Lambda} = \Lambda/3H^2(t_0)$.

The variance of q is given by the exact non-perturbative expression:

$$\text{var}(q) \equiv \langle q^2 - \langle q \rangle^2 \rangle^{1/2} = \bar{q}, \quad (36)$$

where

$$\overline{(\dots)} = \frac{\int d^3x \sqrt{\gamma}(\dots)}{\int d^3x \sqrt{\gamma}}, \quad (37)$$

denotes the ensemble average and γ denotes the determinant of the 3-dimensional metric g_{ij} ($i = 1, 2, 3$).

We see from (34) that different observers located in different causally disconnected parts of the sky will observe different values for the deceleration parameter q , depending upon their location and distance from the center of the spherically symmetric inhomogeneous enhancement. This can lead to one form of cosmic variance, because the spatial average of all the observed values of local physical quantities, including the deceleration parameter, q , will have an intrinsic uncertainty.

Let us set the cosmological constant to zero, $\Lambda = 0$ ². From (31) and (34), we obtain for $t = t_0$:

$$\Omega_0 \equiv \frac{8\pi G\rho_0}{H_{0\perp}^2} + \frac{r^2}{R^2H_{0\perp}^2} + \frac{2r}{R'H_{0\perp}^2} - \frac{2H_{0r}}{H_{0\perp}} = 1, \quad (38)$$

²We do not provide any solution to the cosmological constant problem, namely, why $\Lambda = 0$.

and

$$q(r, t_0) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{4\pi G \rho_0(r, t_0)}{3H_{0\perp}^2(r, t_0)} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{r}{RR'H_{0\perp}^2} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{H_{0r}(r, t_0)}{H_{0\perp}(r, t_0)} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{r^2}{R^2 H_{0\perp}^2}. \quad (39)$$

If in (39) we have

$$H_{0r}H_{0\perp} + \frac{r^2}{R^2} > 4\pi G \rho_0 + H_{0\perp}^2 + \frac{r}{RR'}, \quad (40)$$

then the deceleration parameter q can be negative *and cause the universe to accelerate without a cosmological constant or dark energy*. If we solve for $H_{0r}/H_{0\perp}$ from Eq.(38) and substitute into (39), we obtain

$$q(r, t_0) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{5}{6} \left(\frac{r^2}{R^2 H_{0\perp}^2} \right), \quad (41)$$

which gives a negative q for

$$r^2/R^2 H_{0\perp}^2 > 3/5, \quad (42)$$

and we can satisfy the condition (38). We see that for $r = 0$ corresponding to $f^2(r) = 1$ and a spatially flat universe $q(r, t_0) = 1/2$. For $p = \Lambda = 0$, Eqs.(7) and (40) lead to $\ddot{R} > 0$ as the condition for an accelerating expansion of the universe.

The conditions (38) and (40) require that $f^2(r) > 1$ for the inhomogeneous enhancement, corresponding to an under-dense void. If we choose instead to describe the inhomogeneity by the spatially flat solution $f^2(r) = 1$, then we cannot simultaneously satisfy $\Omega = 1$ and $q < 0$. However, we will investigate in the next Section, whether the condition (40) can indeed be satisfied for the particular LTB model that we have adopted. We shall find that a more general inhomogeneous solution is needed to establish whether such a solution can explain the accelerating expansion of the universe without a negative pressure fluid or a cosmological constant.

Several authors have investigated the robustness of the distance of the FLRW model determination of the magnitude red shift relation and the determination of the luminosity distance D_L as a function of the parameters z , H_0 , Ω_M and Ω_Λ [30, 31, 21]. In particular, an analysis of the magnitude red shift relation by C  lerier [21] reveals that there exists a significant degeneracy and uncertainty in the determination of these parameters when analyzed using a LTB model to describe a local inhomogeneity.

5 The Raychaudhuri Equation

We must now investigate whether the conditions Eqs.(40) and (42) can be satisfied by our LTB model. We will find that this is not the case and that we have to consider more general inhomogeneous solutions. To this end, we shall investigate the consequences of the Raychaudhuri equation [18, 19], which holds in general for any cosmological solution based on Einstein's gravitational field equations.

We shall consider a congruence of curves with a time-like unit vector V_μ with $g^{\mu\nu}V_\mu V_\nu = 1$ and $dV^\mu/ds = \nabla_\nu V^\mu V^\nu$ is the acceleration of the flow lines. The metric tensor $h^{\mu\nu}$ is given by

$$h^\mu{}_\nu = \delta^\mu{}_\nu + V^\mu V_\nu \quad (43)$$

and describes the metric that projects a vector into its components in the subspace of the vector tangent space that is orthogonal to V .

We define the vorticity tensor

$$\omega_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_{[\nu} V_{\mu]}, \quad (44)$$

and the shear tensor

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \theta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3}\theta h_{\mu\nu}, \quad (45)$$

where

$$\theta_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_{(\nu} V_{\mu)}. \quad (46)$$

The covariant derivative of V can be expressed as

$$\nabla_\nu V_\mu = \omega_{\mu\nu} + \sigma_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{3}h_{\mu\nu}\theta - \frac{dV_\mu}{ds}V_\nu. \quad (47)$$

The volume expansion is given by

$$\theta \equiv h^{\mu\nu}\theta_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu V^\mu. \quad (48)$$

The Raychaudhuri equation is

$$\frac{d\theta}{ds} = -R_{\mu\nu}V^\mu V^\nu + 2\omega^2 - 2\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{3}\theta^2, \quad (49)$$

where we have adopted geodesic world lines and

$$\omega^2 = \omega^{\mu\nu}\omega_{\mu\nu} \geq 0, \quad \sigma^2 = \sigma^{\mu\nu}\sigma_{\mu\nu} \geq 0. \quad (50)$$

From Einstein's field equations, we have for $p = \Lambda = 0$:

$$\frac{d\theta}{ds} = 2\omega^2 - 2\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{3}\theta^2 - 4\pi G\rho. \quad (51)$$

The deceleration parameter q is defined by

$$q \equiv -\frac{(3d\theta/ds + \theta^2)}{\theta^2} = \frac{6(\sigma^2 - \omega^2) + 4\pi G\rho}{\theta^2}. \quad (52)$$

If the vorticity $\omega = 0$, then the deceleration parameter q has to be positive for positive ρ .

Let us now consider the inhomogeneous metric (2) and the generalized Friedmann equations (6) and (7). The metric is chosen to satisfy the synchronous gauge and

comoving conditions $g_{00} = 1$ and $g_{0i} = 0$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) corresponding to $V^\mu = (1, 0, 0, 0)$. We have

$$\nabla_\mu V^\mu = \partial_\mu V^\mu + \Gamma^\sigma_{\alpha\sigma} V^\alpha. \quad (53)$$

We obtain for the metric (2):

$$\nabla_\mu V^\mu = \Gamma^\sigma_{0\sigma} = \Gamma^i_{0i}. \quad (54)$$

This in turn becomes (see Appendix A in [1]):

$$\theta = \frac{\dot{R}'}{R'} + 2\frac{\dot{R}}{R} = H_r + 2H_\perp. \quad (55)$$

For our synchronous comoving coordinates we have

$$\frac{d\theta}{ds} = V^\mu \nabla_\mu \theta = V^\mu \partial_\mu \theta = \dot{\theta}. \quad (56)$$

From (55) we get

$$q \equiv -\frac{(3\dot{\theta} + \theta^2)}{\theta^2} = -\frac{\left(3\frac{\ddot{R}'}{R'} + 6\frac{\ddot{R}}{R} + \theta^2 - 3H_r^2 - 6H_\perp^2\right)}{\theta^2}. \quad (57)$$

We may now conclude that if

$$3H_r^2 + 6H_\perp^2 > 3\frac{\ddot{R}'}{R'} + 6\frac{\ddot{R}}{R} + \theta^2, \quad (58)$$

then q could be negative leading to a local acceleration of the universe. However, for our synchronous comoving frame, we have

$$\omega_{\mu\nu} \equiv \nabla_{[\nu} V_{\mu]} = \partial_\nu V_\mu - \partial_\mu V_\nu = 0, \quad (59)$$

so that the vorticity for this chosen gauge is zero. Therefore, we must deduce that the condition (58) cannot be satisfied for the positive energy condition $\rho > 0$. Moreover, the two conditions (40) and (42) cannot be satisfied for the synchronous and comoving metric (2). However, in the following section, we will show that we must carry out a spatial volume averaging of the expansion parameter θ and its time evolution to arrive at a physically viable description of the local inhomogeneous late-time universe and an accelerating expansion of the universe.

6 Spatially Averaged Cosmological Domains

For our inhomogeneous model, it is necessary to perform a spatial average (37) of physical quantities, due to their observer, location dependence. If we postulate that the universe satisfies the cosmological principle and spacetime is described by the

homogeneous and isotropic FLRW metric, then we can make *global* predictions about the universe within our Hubble horizon. However, in general we cannot assume that the universe is homogeneous and isotropic, so we determine the structure of spacetime from the cosmological data available in our past light cone. By adopting a “smoothing” or averaging of the granular structure within a domain $\mathcal{D}$ in the past light cone, we can infer the properties of spacetime using this averaging process outside our past light cone [32, 33, 34].

Although the gravitational potential Φ is weak, $\Phi \ll 1$, we have to treat any back-reaction effect due to inhomogeneities in a relativistic way accounting for the non-linearity of Einstein’s field equations. Sizable post-Newtonian effects due to an acceleration of the universe will appear in the Friedmann equations, describing an inhomogeneous universe after the smoothing or averaging process has been carried out. After a choice of time slicing is made to carry out the volume spatial averaging over a domain $\mathcal{D}$, the question whether an observer sees the universe accelerate or not depends on the data set the observer uses and the size of the scale over which the averaging process is invoked. Our model for the accelerating universe is based on a local void which plays the role of a cosmological constant. An observer living near or inside the void sees the local region of spacetime expand faster than the outer region of the void, despite the fact that both regions are decelerating. Thus, even though individual local fluid elements experience a deceleration, the averaged domain including the void and its outer regions will appear to the observer as an accelerating universe.

An open question regarding the averaging procedure is whether it is covariant. The questions of covariance, gauge invariance and the uniqueness of the averaging procedure remain to be solved. The Einstein field equations are non-linear, so that the average of the tensor density of matter does not commute with the average of the time derivatives of the metric tensor occurring in the Einstein tensor. The average of the expansion of the universe derived from the luminosity distance must be consistently matched with the results obtained from the volume expansion parameter θ and the average of the density of matter.

Let us define a more specific domain averaged expression for a scalar quantity [32, 33, 34]:

$$\langle \Psi(\vec{x}, t) \rangle_D = \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_D} \int_D d^3x \sqrt{\gamma} \Psi(\vec{x}, t), \quad (60)$$

where

$$\mathcal{V}_D = \int_D d^3x \sqrt{\gamma} \quad (61)$$

is the volume of the simply-connected domain, D , in a hypersurface. We can define an effective scale-factor for our spatially averaged spherically symmetric inhomogeneous enhancement (void):

$$\langle R(r, t) \rangle_D = \left(\frac{\mathcal{V}(t)_D}{\mathcal{V}_{iD}} \right)^{1/3}, \quad (62)$$

where $\mathcal{V}_{iD}$ is the initial volume.

The volume averaging of the scalar Ψ does not commute with its time evolution [32, 33, 34]:

$$\langle \dot{\Psi}(r, t) \rangle_D - \partial_t \langle \Psi(r, t) \rangle_D = \langle \Psi(r, t) \rangle_D \langle \theta(r, t) \rangle_D - \langle \Psi(r, t) \theta(r, t) \rangle_D. \quad (63)$$

We can derive for the averaged $\langle \theta \rangle_D$ the equation

$$\langle \theta \rangle_D = 3 \frac{\langle \dot{R} \rangle_D}{\langle R \rangle_D} = 3 \langle H_\perp \rangle_D. \quad (64)$$

Setting $\Psi = \theta$ and substituting for the Raychaudhuri equation (51) for an irrotational matter dominated late-time model with $\omega_{\mu\nu} = 0$, $d\theta/ds = d\theta/dt$ and $\Lambda = 0$, we obtain the expression

$$3 \frac{\langle \ddot{R} \rangle_D}{\langle R \rangle_D} = -4\pi G \langle \rho \rangle_D + \langle P \rangle_D, \quad (65)$$

where $\langle P \rangle_D$ is a function of $\langle \theta \rangle_D$, the average Hubble expansion parameters $\langle H_\perp \rangle_D$ and $\langle H_r \rangle_D$ and the average shear $\langle \sigma \rangle_D$.

For inhomogeneous cosmology, the smoothing due to averaging of the Einstein field equations does not commute with the time evolution of the non-linear field equations. This leads to extra contributions in the effective, averaged Einstein field equations, which do not satisfy the usual energy conditions even though they are satisfied by the original energy-momentum tensor. It is the lack of commutativity of the time evolution of the expansion of the universe in a local patch inside our Hubble horizon that circumvents the no-go theorem based on the local Raychaudhuri equation.

If we can satisfy the condition

$$\langle P \rangle_D > 4\pi G \langle \rho \rangle_D, \quad (66)$$

then the averaged deceleration parameter $\langle q \rangle_D$ can be negative corresponding to the acceleration of a local patch of the universe. If we substitute the value of $\langle \ddot{R} \rangle_D$ from the volume averaged equation (7) for $p = \Lambda = 0$, then the exact LTB solution for an *irrotational* inhomogeneous, under-dense void solution can describe the local acceleration of the late-time universe and fulfill the constraint equation (66). The spatial domain average of q in Eq.(41) gives

$$\langle q \rangle_D = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{5}{6} \left\langle \left(\frac{r^2}{R^2 H_{0\perp}^2} \right) \right\rangle_D. \quad (67)$$

This yields the averaged condition for an accelerating universe:

$$\left\langle \frac{r^2}{R^2 H_{0\perp}^2} \right\rangle_D > 3/5. \quad (68)$$

This spatial domain averaged condition for our LTB void solution can be satisfied in our synchronous comoving gauge with zero vorticity for $\langle \rho \rangle_D > 0$.

7 Conclusions

We have modelled the late-time inhomogeneous and non-linear regime for $z < 10$ by an exact spherically symmetric, inhomogeneous solution of Einstein's field equations. The solution approaches an Einstein-de Sitter matter dominated solution for $z > 10$, which describes a homogeneous and isotropic FLRW background spacetime with small inhomogeneities $\delta\rho/\rho \sim 10^{-5}$ near the surface of last scattering in agreement with the WMAP3 data [4]. An investigation of the Raychaudhuri equation for the expansion rate of the universe, shows that for the synchronous and comoving gauge condition satisfied by the inhomogeneous spherically symmetric metric, the vorticity ω is zero and the deceleration parameter q is positive or zero when the positive energy condition is satisfied. However, a volume averaging of physical quantities is required for our inhomogeneous universe, and due to the lack of commutativity of the time evolution of the average of the scalar expansion θ , we find that it is possible to have a negative averaged deceleration parameter $\langle q \rangle$ for a positive density of matter ρ and a zero vorticity $\omega = 0$. Observational bounds on the magnitude of the quantity $\langle P_D \rangle$ in the condition (66) must be obtained to establish whether a sufficiently negative q can be produced by the expanding inhomogeneous enhancement or void to allow an explanation of the accelerating universe without a negative pressure fluid or a cosmological constant.

The model inhomogeneous solution is exact, so that we do not have to be concerned with the failure of perturbative backreaction calculations in the non-linear late-time universe regime. However, we have assumed a high degree of symmetry for our inhomogeneous solution, since it only allows for a one-dimensional inhomogeneity in the radial direction, although the angular azimuthal expansion described by $H_\perp$ does play a significant role in determining the cosmological solution and the magnitude of the acceleration. Due to the need for approximate isotropy, we require that the observer be situated not too far from the “center” of the spherically symmetric, inhomogeneous distribution of matter, so this could be interpreted as a “coincidence” problem. But this could be an artifact of the degree of symmetry assumed for the model solution. It would be interesting to investigate inhomogeneous cosmological solutions that possess less symmetry than the LTB models [29].

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. I thank Joel Brownstein and Martin Green for helpful discussions.

References

- [1] J. W. Moffat, Jour. Cosmol. Astrophys. JCAP 0510 (2005) 012, astro-ph/0502110.
- [2] S. Perlmutter et al. Ap. J. **517**, 565 (1999); A. G. Riess, et al. Astron. J. **116**, 1009 (1998); P. M. Garnavich, et al. Ap. J. **509**, 74 (1998).
- [3] A. G. Riess, et al., Ap. J. **607**, 665 (2004), astro-ph/0402512.
- [4] G. Hinshaw et al., astro-ph/0603451; D. N. Spergel et al., astro-ph/0603449.
- [5] See e.g. S. M. Carroll, V. Duvvuri, M. Trodden and M. Turner, Phys. Rev. **D70**, 043528 (2004).
- [6] P. J. E. Peebles and B. Ratra, Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 559 (2003); N. Straumann, astro-ph/0203330.
- [7] E. W. Kolb, S. Matarrese, A. Notari and A. Riotto, Phys. Rev. **D71**, 023524 (2004); *ibid*, astro-ph/0410541; *ibid*, hep-th/0503117.
- [8] E. Barausse, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, astro-ph/05001152.
- [9] A. Notari, astro-ph/0503715.
- [10] S. Räsänen, Class. Quant. Grav. **23**, 1823 (2006), astro-ph/0504005.
- [11] V. F. Mukhanov, L. R. W. Abramo and R. H. Brandenberger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 1624 (1997); W. Unruh, astro-ph/9802323; N. Ashfordi and R. H. Brandenberger, Phys. Rev. **D63**, 123505 (2001); G. Geshnizjani and R. H. Brandenberger, hep-th/0310265; R. H. Brandenberger and C. S. Lam, hep-th/0407048; N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, Nucl. Phys. **B474**, 235 (1996), hep-ph/9602315; Y. Nambu, gr-qc/0503111.
- [12] G. Geshnizjani and D. J. H. Chung, Phys. Rev. **D72** 023517 (2005), astro-ph/0503553.
- [13] E. E. Flanagan, Phys. Rev. **D71** 103521 (2005), hep-th/0503202.
- [14] C. M Hirata and U. Seljak, Phys. Rev. **D72** 083501 (2005), astro-ph/0503582.
- [15] D. L. Wiltshire, gr-qc/0503099.
- [16] E. R. Siegel and J. N. Fry, Ap. J. **628** L1 (2005), astro-ph/0504421.
- [17] M. Giovannini, Phys. Lett. **B634** 1 (2006), hep-th/0505222.
- [18] A. Raychaudhuri, Phys. Rev. **98**, 1123 (1955).

- [19] S. W. Hawking and G. R. F. Ellis, Cambridge University Press, 1973.
- [20] F. Hoyle and M. S. Vogeley, *Ap. J.* **607**, 751 (2004), astro-ph/0312533.
- [21] M. N. C  l  rier, *Astron. Astrophys.* **353**, 63 (2000), astro-ph/9907206; astro-ph/0504476.
- [22] K. Tomita, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **326**, 287 (2001), astro-ph/0011484.
- [23] G. Lem  tre, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **91**, 490 (1931); *Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles*, **A53**, 51 (1933).
- [24] R. C. Tolman, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **20**, 169 (1934).
- [25] H. Bondi, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **107**, 410 (1947).
- [26] W. B. Bonnor, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **167**, 55 (1974).
- [27] J. W. Moffat and D. C. Tatarski, *Phys. Rev.* **D45**, 3512 (1992).
- [28] J. W. Moffat and D. C. Tatarski, *Ap. J.* **453**, 17 (1995).
- [29] For a review of inhomogeneous cosmology and references, see: A Krasinski, *Inhomogeneous Cosmological Models*, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [30] M. H. Partovi and B. Mashhoon, *Ap. J.* **276**, 4 (1984).
- [31] H. K. Suonio and E. Liang, *Ap. J.* **390**, 5 (1992).
- [32] G. F. R. Ellis, *General Relativity and Gravitation* (Reidel Pub., Dordrecht), p. 215 (1984).
- [33] T. Buchert, *G. R. G.* **32**, 105 (2000), gr-qc/9906015; T. Buchert, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **22**, L113 (2005), gr-qc/0507028.
- [34] E. W Kolb, S. Mataresse and A. Riotto, astro-ph/0506534; astro-ph/0511073.